Qualitative Contours: A New Geochemical Method for Preliminary Mineral Exploration
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Abstract: The target in this paper is introduction and application of a new exploration method to locate and highlight mineral deposits and mineralization. Generally exploration methods could be categorized into two major groups; quantitative and qualitative methods. Although many attempts have been taken to progress the first group, the second one has not been considered and improved as it should have been. This research offers a new method named ‘Qualitative Contours’ which is descriptive rather than being numeric. This new method is applied to delineate mineralization trends and deposits locations in Qaleh-Zari area. The selected study area is located north west of Qaleh-Zari copper deposit. This area is selected to determine how effective this method is to find mineralization trends and the known Qaleh-Zari deposit. Their new method “Qualitative Contours” successfully located Qaleh-Zari deposit and mineralization trends in the area. Beside the main function of this method, other beneficial performances are discussed such as lithology modeling and erosion levels estimation which are highly correlated to field observations in the area. In this research, locating the well-known Qaleh-Zari copper deposit as the result of the Qualitative Contours method is discussed and in order to prove the accuracy of such locating, Concentration-Volume (C-V) Fractal modeling is used to prove copper anomalies numerically.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mining activities especially copper mining in Iran are based on thousands of years ago. According to Khoei et al.[1] several copper ore bodies are recognized in Iran (more than 500 or so). Different zones of copper ore bodies are suggested by researchers. Bazin and Hubner [2] suggested five zones. Khoei et al.[1] suggested six copper ore bodies in Iran as illustrated in Figure 1. Delineation of geochemical anomalies from background is one of the major targets in exploration geochemistry. In order to achieve this goal, different descriptive and quantitative methods have been employed [3]. All of these methods are quantitative including statistical procedures, Fractal/multi-fractal modeling, neural network methods and other common methods which are being used as well by the researchers and experts.

In this study, a new method named “qualitative contours” is introduced. This method is proposed and employed to recognize mineralization trends and deposits locations in the study area. Qualitative contours would be a pioneer for introduction and application of descriptive data in exploration activities generating valuable results with low costs. The simplicity in method application and result interpretation are the other features persuading experts to use this method. The application and results of this new method on Qaleh-Zari copper deposit are discussed in this paper. Fractal/multi-fractal modeling is used in this study in order to check and compare the results. Fractal modeling was firstly introduced by Mandelbort [4] and then developed by Cheng et al. [5] and Li et al. [6].

2. GEOLOGY

2.1 Regional geology

The geology of Iran is represented by an assembly of continental fragments initially rifted from Gondwana land. As the Paleotethys and Neotethys oceans developed and closed, the fragments subsequently amalgamated [7-12]. The geological studies and tectonic researches of Iran date back to more than five decades [13-25]. It can be claimed that Iran owns one of the most variable and complex conditions in the world from the view point of economic geology. Approximately most of the known metallic and nonmetallic mining resources observed in Iran are economic.

Urmieh-Dokhtar magmatic belt in Tertiary caused several ore deposits in Iran especially copper deposits such as Porphyry and Skarn deposits [27-37]. The other recognized copper deposit types in Iran are volcanic massive sulfide (VMS) and Hydrothermal vein type deposits. The Lut block and its contact with the other blocks around it could be mentioned as
Fig. 1. The main zones of copper mineralization in Iran:
(1) Orumieh-Dokhtar Belt; (2) Western Alborz Zone;
(3) Kavir-Sabzevar Zone; (4) Sabalan; (5) Lut area; (6) Makran area [26]

one of the major locations for the VMS and hydrothermal vein type copper deposits. Figure 2 clearly demonstrates several Copper (Cu) and Lead-Zinc (Pb-Zn) mineralization localities in the Lut block.

2.2 Qaleh-Zari copper deposit
Qaleh-Zari copper deposit in the center of the Lut block is one of the most important copper deposits in Iran. Although the tonnage is low, the copper grade is high enough to assume Qaleh-Zari ore body as the noticeable one in Iran. This copper deposit is located 180km southwest of Birjand city [26] as demonstrated in figure 1. Qaleh-Zari deposit, like most of the copper deposits in Iran, has been mined since more than 2000 years ago. Historic mining around the deposit is significant. It is worth mentioning that these historic mining activities were the most important exploration clues in the area. Qaleh-Zari is a Fe-oxide Cu-Ag-Au vein type deposit [38].

Generally in hydrothermal vein type deposits structure of the area is the controller of hydrothermal fluids movement direction. The relationship between hydrothermal ore deposits and discontinuities in the crust (faults, fractures and lineaments) evokes that this deposit type is spatially consistent to regional structural features [40-63]. NW-SE and E-W trending could be considered for faults and fractures in this deposit, which also are the general structural trending in the whole area.

Qaleh-Zari copper deposit is located in the Lut block.

Fig. 2. Map of Cu, Pb-Zn mineralization localities in the Lut block. 1-Gazu, 2-Sorkh-Kuh, 3-Qaleh-Zari, 4-Howze Dough, 5-Ghare Kafart, 6-Shurk, 7-Shikasteh Sabz, 8- Mire Khash, 9-Madan-e-Rahi I, 10-Madan-e-Rahi II, 11- Sehchangi, 12-Howze Rasi, 13-Shurab, 14-Gale Chah, and 15- Chali Nogre [39]

According to Duymehvar [64], the oldest formations are sedimentary rocks in the area. Paleogene volcanic rocks consist of high potassium calc-alkaline andesites (subduction zone shoshonites), andesitic basalts, dacites and rhyolites, andesitic and dacitic tuffs and pyroxene-bearing andesites [65-67]. Basaltic rocks are the most abundant rock units in the area. Andesine and Andesitic basalt are the other major rock types observed in Qaleh-Zari area (Fig.3).

2.3 MINERALIZATION
A plutonic mass as the engine of a water circulation system has just created primary hydrothermal mineralization. Meteoric water plays an important role in enrichment and alteration processes in deposits associated with faults and fractures. Surface water flows can penetrate to deeper parts through the fractures. This process causes copper oxide minerals. The main copper mineral in Qaleh-Zari deposit is chalcopyrite.
According to the deposit type, a variety of paragenesis minerals are expected and also observed. Beside chalcopyrite as the economic mineral, bornite, pyrite, hematite, galena, sphalerite, in sulfide supergene zone and malachite and aurite, in oxide supergene zone are the most common minerals in association with Quartz veins.

Four types of alterations are seen in Qaleh-Zari deposit. According to Hassan-Nezhad and Moore [26], these four alterations types are introduced as 1. sericitization; 2. argillization; 3. propylitization; and 4. Silicification.

2.4 Structural geology
In Qaleh-Zari deposit, mineralization is controlled by structural settings including faults and fractures with specific trending (NW-SE and E-W trending). In hydrothermal deposits, structure of the deposit is very important to be studied since the mineralization is controlled by the amount of fractures volumen which permits the hydrothermal fluid to pass through. The Qaleh-Zari deposit is a fissure-filling polymetallic vein system formed by hydrothermal solutions circulating through fault planes and breccia zones [65], [67] and [68]. In this deposit, major and minor fractures within which copper mineralization has occured are created as the result of a Right-sided compressive shear deformation system with N135 general trending [69]. Faults and fractures can be grouped into the following orientations:
1. NW– SE, these right-lateral high angle faults (dipping about 868) host the main ore-bearing veins. Dilation in these faults sometimes reaches up to 70 cm. 2. NE – SW, these barren fractures are younger than the other faults and fractures and show left-lateral movement. Locally, these fractures are seen to cut and displace ore-bearing veins, which occur along the oldest faults. 3. N – S, these fractures are the least common. Mineralization along these fractures is very poor and there is no evidence of mining along them. At the surface they are mostly mineralized by quartz and hematite. 4. E – W, faults from the point of view of mineralization are similar to Group 1 faults [26].

Based on the geological map (Fig.3) the study area includes NW-SE faults. It is noticeable that this trend for faults and fractures is controlling the mineralization in the area. Along the faults in the study area, copper mineralization is observed. These fractures are supposed as the most important structural features for mineralization in the study area. Thus sampling for the following method is mostly concentrated around these fractures.

3. METHODOLOGY
3.1 Qualitative Contours method
This method is devised and proposed by the authors of this paper for the first time. The primary targets of this new method are locating deposits and highlighting mineralization trends in study areas. The basis of this method is field observations. In other words, minerals, alterations and host rock observations are the input data which are recognized and recorded on the field. Exploration methods could be categorized in two major groups, quantitative and qualitative methods. Although many attempts have been taken to progress the first group, the second one has not been considered and improved as it should have been. Generally field observations consists a bulk of geological datasets which could be categorized in several types such as structural features, mineralogy, surface and underground water conditions, chronology and etc.
This method is supposed to delineate mineral deposits and mineralization trending in the area of study. Among the possible field observations mentioned above, mineralogy of the study area is the research subject of this method. Firstly before using this method, the area must be selected for a special element (target element).

In the other words, the target element defined in primary exploration studies is what the researchers look for economical minerals of (oxide, sulfide and other forms). The other factor is paragenesis minerals. Paragenesis minerals for the target element (or mineral) are very important. Most of the time, paragenesis minerals are the best guidance to locate the specific mineralization or ore deposits. Alterations, as an important characteristic, play a critical role in exploration process. Most mineral deposit types and the all of hydrothermal deposits include alterations extended inside or around the deposit. The alterations and their specific minerals would be the third answer key for locating deposits. The last but not least is the host rock. The host/barren rocks, extending in the area and surrounding mineralization, are principle objects to be distinguished. The host rock types could be easily recognized in geological maps since the most extended and abundant rock types with obvious trending usually are the host rock of mineralization in the area.

These four factors (1- economic target minerals, 2- paragenesis minerals, 3- alterations and 4- host rock) are the required field observations and the input data in Qualitative Contours method.

The data, as discussed above, is obtained from sampling on the field. Since there is no need to chemical analysis and the data is qualitative, just noting the observed minerals, alterations and host rock samples with the sampling location, is enough to create data set. It is recommended to observe at least 30 points in the area (thirty points are recommend as minimum number of samples needed to be considered as a statistical population as in classic statistics and also to make it possible to study the samples by classic statistics). The more samples the more accuracy in final results. There is no need to systematic sampling and also no force to scan and sample the whole area.

The next step is turning qualitative data into quantitative form. To achieve such goal, Table.1 is suggested. According to this table, the value for each sampling point could be defined. For illustration, the sampling point with economic target minerals is valued 4 scores and another sample point including any type of alterations in accordance to the target mineralization, is valued 2 scores. The same procedure for all the observation points is applied. Then these points and their values are plotted on a map based on the coordination of each sampling point. Thus the map includes some points (sampling points) with values 1, 2, 3 or 4. By supposing these values as Z value (Height) for each point, then it is possible to use these points to emerge a contour map (the first contour map). This contour map includes major contour lines (contour values 1, 2, 3 and 4).

Table1. Values for each type of observations (no dimension)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation types</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Host rock (1st type)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alterations (2nd type)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragenesis minerals (3rd type)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic target minerals (4th type)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the samples, several poles or peak points may appear on this contour map. The poles or peak point’s value is 4. The poles are surrounded by other major contours. Each pole has an effective distance which separates it from other poles on the map. In this method enclosed area with contours 3 and 4 should be calculated. Then this calculated area is divided to the area of the whole region which is being studied. In the other words, the ratio of the enclosed area with contours 3 and 4 to the area of the whole study region should be calculated. This ratio value will be related to the poles of the map. This operation is critical because it creates dimensionless numbers and the dataset will be normalized to the total possible value (Total area) of the dataset. Then the value for each pole is a percent of the total area enclosed with contours 3 and 4 around the pole. In the next step, the value of each pole (the ratio value) will be related to the poles. A new contour map (Mineralization trend map) could be created for these poles based on their values. The mineralization’s trending will be obviously recognizable in this map which could be demonstrated as arrows drawn from the center of areas enclosed with low contour values to the center of areas enclosed with high contour values.

The other noticeable feature of the first contour map is modeling the rock types of the whole area. There is no need to clarify that there are infinite numbers between two single numbers. Thus between 1, 2, 3 and 4 (the
observation type values) there are several definable numbers. As an example it is possible to define 2.5 between 2 and 3 then the contour value of 2.5 (between the two major contours 2 and 3) suggests mineralogy phase between alterations and paragenesis minerals. This is extendable to other minor contours determined by any interval number for the contour map.

These are not the only performances of these contours. The other beneficial one is using the map to estimate erosion levels in the area. Erosion levels are important factors for deposit position evaluation. The contours exactly suggest what should exist on the field then if there would be any differences with the contour map suggestions and field observations, erosion levels must be determined. In the other words, the differences mean that the expected mineralogy/lithology type has been eroded or buried previously. This method in this feature is highly more effective than a lithological map. Although in order to create a lithological map the whole areas and the whole rock unites and outcrops must be considered, there is no need to sampling the whole area for creating a qualitative contour map suggested by this study.

The contours (in the first contour map) created in this way evoke that the points are fractally distributed. To prove this claim, concentration-area (C-A) Fractal modeling has been applied for the areas enclosed by the qualitative contours. Since both methods are envolved with contours and surfacial study, fractal modeling is used. Concentration-area (C-A) Fractal modeling is introduced and expressed by Cheng et al. (1994) as: A (p) denotes the area with concentration values greater than the contour value p. This implies that A (p) is a decreasing function of p. If v represents the threshold, the following empirical model generally provides a good fit for the data for different elements in the study area:

\[ A(p \leq v) \propto p^{-a_1}; \quad A(p > v) \propto p^{-a_2} \]

Where \( \propto \) denotes proportionality.

3.2 Concentration-Volume (C-V) Fractal modeling

Concentration-volume (C-V) fractal modeling is similar to concentration-area (C-A) fractal modeling with the difference that instead of enclosed area, the volume is employed so the final result is expected to be a 3D anomaly model. This method is expressed as the following statements:

\[ V(p \leq v) \propto \rho^{-a_1}; \quad V(p > v) \propto \rho^{-a_2} \]

where \( V(p \leq v) \) and \( V(p > v) \) represent the two volumes with concentration values less than or equal to and greater than or equal to the contour value p; \( v \) represents the threshold value of a geological zone (or volume);and \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are the characteristic exponents. The break points in Log-Log plot in this method could be assumed as threshold values for geochemical populations. Based on the break points, background and anomaly are distinguished.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Qualitative contours method application

In this research, the new introduced method (Qualitative Contours method) is applied on Qaleh-Zari area (including Qaleh-Zari deposit) and the results are discussed. As mentioned before, Qualitative Contours method is kind of empirical procedures meaning that field observation (mineralogical/lithological features) are the input data.

In Qaleh-Zari area, the prospecting element or the target element is Copper. In the other words, locating copper mineralization is the goal of the study in this area and this research. To achieve this goal, the authors represent and suggest the new method Qualitative Contours. To begin with, 80 surface samples are collected from an area of about 46km² north of Qaleh-Zari deposit. Mineralogical/lithological observations and location coordinates for each sample are recorded. According to the basis of Qualitative Contours method, the samples are categorized in four types. Some of the samples containing copper minerals (malachite, azurite, copprite) are grouped as the 4th type. Other samples in which paragenesis minerals for copper mineralization (magnetite, specularite, pyrite and gallena) are found are the 3rd type. As the same procedure, samples with any type of alterations (propylitic, silicic, argillic and potassic) excluding copper minerals or copper paragenesis minerals are the 2nd group and host/barren rock samples form the 1st type. The samples credited based on table 1 are plotted according to their coordinates and then the contour map is created in Surfer.11 software (Fig.4).

At the first look, each contour line recommends an equal feature for the points on it like any other contour map. This qualitative contour map suggests constant mineralogy/lithology for each contour line. In order to control the accuracy of the map, authors followed all major contours on the field according to the map (Fig.4). The result was extremely correlated to what the map was predicting. The qualitative contours not only do define different mineralogy/lithology types in the
area but also demonstrates geological features especially faults and fractures along the contours lines. Since this method is based on mineralogy and minerals are usually deposited along the fractures and faults,

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Contour map based on Qualitative contours method, X is an accuracy check point observed after running the method.

when minerals observation locations are taken into account, the faults and fractures will be automatically mapped in mineralization maps along the mineralization trends and in addition, based on field observations in the area, the faults and fractures where oriented along the contours in figure 4. Figures 5a and 5b show areas delineated by qualitative contours method as 4th and 1st types respectively.

As illustrated in figure 5a, copper-included quartz veins could be obviously distinguished on the surface. This vein is exactly located as contour value 4 in the qualitative contours map. Figure 5b shows basaltic host rocks in the area and it worth mentioning that their contour value on the qualitative contours map is 1 which proves the accuracy of the map.

The other aspect to point out is erosion level. Based on the qualitative contours map, erosion levels and conditions could be relatively determined. According to the qualitative contours map (Fig. 4), in the point X, the contour value is 2.4 but instead of semi altered basalt (equivalent to contour 2.4 in the area), sediment in water stream is observed. This stream has created a valley between two hills that the qualitative contours map shows a constant value (similar mineralogy/lithology) for both hills. It means that previously there was the same mineralogy/lithology, as the two hills, in the point X before the stream activity

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Figure 5.** Field observations based on qualitative contours map. a) silicified veins including malachite, b) host rock outcrops causing erosion in this point. This story is extensible to parts of the area with differences in qualitative contours value and field observations.

As another approach to this method, enclosed area by contour lines is the subject. In this method type 3 and type 4 (based on table 1) are claimed as anomalous segments of the dataset. The definition of anomaly in this case is the mineralization occurrence. In the other words, it is expected that this method demonstrates and highlights mineralized areas. One of the most frequently used methods to delineate anomalies related to enclosed areas by contour lines is Concentration-Area (C-A)
Fractal modeling. This method is applied to the areas enclosed by qualitative contours. The Log-Log plot (Log (value)-Log (area)) (Fig.6) shows a major breakpoint in 0.48 which is equal to 3.019 if antilog function be applied. It means points with values greater than 3.019 are the anomalous samples so based on table 1, 1st and 2nd types are background and 3rd and 4th types are anomalous data. Thus this method is highly correlated to the well-known Concentration-Area (C-A) Fractal modeling since both methods are suggesting the same anomaly threshold.

In addition, Mineralization trend map creation is possible by the use of qualitative contours map. As described in methodology section, enclosed area for contours 3 and 4 in the map (Fig.4) is calculated for each pole and is divided to the area of the whole study region. The result is a dimensionless value related to each pole. Then the contour map (Fig.7) is created in Surfer 11 software. This contour map is the Mineralization trend map. This map shows mineralization trend in the area increasing from north to south since the ratio percentage (enclosed area of contours 3 and 4 to the area of the whole region) increases.

This mineralization trend map suggests a mineralization gradient in the area. Based on the map, Southern parts are more potential for copper mineralization. It worth mentioning that Qaleh-Zari copper deposit is exactly located in southern regions out of the map. As a result, mineralization trend according to the Qualitative Contours method is just directing to the most mineralized area which would be very useful in the primary exploration steps.

4.2 Anomaly accuracy check
In this study, Qaleh-Zari area is investigated. The Qualitative Contours method is used and applied to an area located north of the deposit to see whether this method is capable to recognize the deposit. Since the method successfully worked, Qaleh-Zari deposit is the next case study to prove the accuracy of geochemical copper anomalies suggested by the Qualitative Contours method in southern parts of the mineralization trend map (Fig.7) which is well-known Qaleh-Zari deposit. To determine copper geochemical anomaly in Qaleh-Zari copper deposit, 20 boreholes are drilled. The boreholes are drilled to simulate the condition that Qaleh-Zari deposit was not known for the researchers. This will control the results numerically. The cores obtained from the boreholes are used for sampling. In this case, 140 samples are derived from these boreholes and analyzed by ICP-MS (Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry). The analysis result for copper is shown in table 2. To avoid large data table, only average copper content for each borehole is displayed in table 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole number</th>
<th>Average Cu (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60-8100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1400-49500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40-5100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>50-1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2600-11000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30-89400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>200-16900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>30-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>40-12600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>30-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>100-8300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>22-3900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>70-11000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>98-17500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the Concentration-Volume (C-V) Fractal modeling, anomaly threshold for copper samples is 1995ppm. This grade is applied to the 3Dimensional model of the deposit to create anomaly model (Fig.9).

According to the anomaly model, the economic copper deposit with threshold of 1995ppm is delineated and proved. Thus the Qualitative Contours method also is successful in locating mineral deposits as applied for Qaleh-Zari Copper deposit.

Figure 8. Log (grade)-Log (volume) plot based on (C-V) Fractal modeling.

5. CONCLUSION
Among different exploration methods, there are few procedures paying attention to qualitative data. Qualitative data arrangement is so much more cost and time effective than the so called quantitative datasets. Quantitative datasets include systematic sampling, expensive chemical analysis and complex data processing. The Qualitative Contours method introduced by this research opens the way forward to use qualitative and descriptive data. The data are based on field observations and there is no need to chemical analysis. This effective method is highly recommended in primary exploration steps and in projects with financial limitations. As discussed, this method is capable to delineate mineralization trends in a prospecting area which causes to locate mineral deposits.
The other important feature is the lithology modeling which the Qualitative Contours map suggests. According to the map, contours are defined as a type of mineralogy or lithology meaning that the type is constant on each single contour. This could be helpful and replaced to lithological map in a prospecting area since the contours are highly correlated to the geological field observations. Based on this fact, eroded units in the area are easily recognized by the comparison between the qualitative contour map and the field observations. This method also is in high correlation to quantitative methods especially Fractal modeling. The anomalies based on both methods overlap each other that will encourage other researchers to use this new method for exploration purposes.
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